

1 **DIEMER & WEI, LLP**  
2 Kathryn S. Diemer (#133977)  
3 100 West San Fernando Street, Suite 555  
4 San Jose, CA 95113  
5 Telephone: 408-971-6270  
6 Facsimile: 408-971-6271  
7 Email: [kdiemer@diemerwei.com](mailto:kdiemer@diemerwei.com)

8 **WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER LLP**

9 Matthew A. Feldman (*pro hac vice*)  
10 Joseph G. Minias (*pro hac vice*)  
11 Daniel I. Forman (*pro hac vice*)  
12 787 Seventh Avenue  
13 New York, NY 10019-6099  
14 Telephone: (212) 728-8000  
15 Facsimile: (212) 728-8111  
16 Email: [mfeldman@willkie.com](mailto:mfeldman@willkie.com)  
17 [jminias@willkie.com](mailto:jminias@willkie.com)  
18 [dforman@willkie.com](mailto:dforman@willkie.com)

19 *Counsel for Ad Hoc Group of Subrogation Claim Holders*

20 **UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

21 **In re:**

22 **PG&E CORPORATION,**

23 **-and-**

24 **PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC  
COMPANY,**

25 **Debtors.**

26  Affects PG&E Corporation  
27  Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
28  Affects both Debtors

29 \* *All papers shall be filed in the lead case,  
30 No. 19-30088 (DM)*

31 Chapter 11  
32 Bankr. Case No. 19-30088 (DM)  
33 (Jointly Administered)

34 **MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT  
35 OF THE MOTION OF THE AD HOC  
36 GROUP OF SUBROGATION CLAIM  
37 HOLDERS PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C.  
38 §§ 105(a), 107(b) AND 501 AND FED. R.  
39 BANKR. P. 3001(a) AND 9018 FOR ENTRY  
40 OF AN ORDER APPROVING PROPOSED  
41 MODEL OMNIBUS INSURANCE  
42 SUBROGATION PROOF OF CLAIM FORM  
43 FOR SUBROGATION CLAIMS AND  
44 RELATED PROCEDURES**

45 **Hearing Date: June 11, 2019**

46 **Hearing Time: 9:30 a.m. (PT)**

47 **Hearing Location: 450 Golden Gate Ave.,  
48 San Francisco, CA, Courtroom 17**

49 **Judge: Hon. Dennis Montali**

50 **Objection Deadline: May 28, 2019**

## **PRELIMINARY STATEMENT<sup>1</sup>**

1. The Court is asked to decide between competing motions to establish a form proof of claim for Subrogation Claims relating to fire losses. Though superficially similar, there are important differences between the form proposed by the Ad Hoc Subrogation Group and the form proposed by the Debtors. The Subrogation Group's Form is reasonably detailed, drafted with its intended audience in mind, requests the information necessary to assert and analyze a claim and allow the Debtors to administer their cases, and does not ask for any information that is unnecessary, unduly burdensome, confidential and/or unavailable. Contemporaneously with the filing of the motion to approve the Subrogation Group's Form (the "**Subrogation Group's Motion**") and this accompanying memorandum of law, the Ad Hoc Subrogation Group is also filing an objection to the Debtors' bar date motion, which describes in detail the issues with the Debtors' proposed form.

2. Importantly, the parties do not dispute the need for a specific proof of claim form for the assertion of Subrogation Claims, which present unique and complex issues both for those filing claims and for the Debtors' claims reconciliation process. Subrogation Claims will have to be matched to claims filed by individual claimants to avoid any overlapping recoveries, and to make sure all damages are fairly compensated. In addition, there is a long history of information exchanged between holders of Subrogation Claims and utilities (including PG&E). The claim form should request the same information that subrogation claim holders have historically exchanged with defendants. However, as is set forth in the Ad Hoc Subrogation Group's objection to the Debtors' Motion, the Debtors' proposed form uses confusing terminology, and asks for information that has not been historically provided to the company when settling these claims. The claim form proposed by the Ad Hoc Subrogation Group, attached to the Subrogation Group's Motion as Exhibit A (the "**Subrogation Group's Form**") and filed contemporaneously herewith, corrects those issues.

Capitalized terms used but not defined in this section shall have the meanings ascribed below.

1           **I. JURISDICTION**

2           3.       This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and  
3 1334, the *Order Referring Bankruptcy Cases and Proceedings to Bankruptcy Judges*, General  
4 Order (N.D. Cal.), and Rule 5011-1(a) of the Bankruptcy Local Rules for the United States District  
5 Court for the Northern District of California (the “**Bankruptcy Local Rules**”). This is a core  
6 proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b). Venue is proper before the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C.  
7 §§ 1408 and 1409.

8           **II. BACKGROUND**

9           4.       On January 29, 2019 (the “**Petition Date**”), PG&E Corporation (“**PG&E Corp.**”)  
10 and Pacific Gas and Electric Company (“**Utility**”), as debtors and debtors in possession  
11 (collectively, “**PG&E**” or the “**Debtors**”), commenced with the Court voluntary cases under  
12 chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code (the “**Chapter 11 Cases**”).

13           5.       The Debtors continue to operate their businesses and manage their properties as  
14 debtors in possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of title 11 of the United States Code  
15 (the “**Bankruptcy Code**”). No trustee or examiner has been appointed in the Chapter 11 Cases.  
16 The Chapter 11 Cases are being jointly administered for procedural purposes only pursuant to Rule  
17 1015(b) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “**Bankruptcy Rules**”).

18           6.       The Ad Hoc Group of Subrogation Claim Holders’ (the “**Ad Hoc Subrogation**  
19 **Group**”) membership and collective holdings of claims and interests are disclosed in the *First*  
20 *Amended Verified Statement of the Ad Hoc Group of Subrogation Claims Holders Pursuant to*  
21 *Bankruptcy Rule 9019* [Docket No. 1482]. The Ad Hoc Subrogation Group consists of members  
22 holding insurance subrogation<sup>2</sup> claims (“**Subrogation Claims**”) against the Debtors on account of

25           2       The use of the shorthand descriptive term “subrogation” herein is not intended to modify or limit the  
26 substantive rights of the holder of claims or the basis for the claimant’s right to assert claims originating with  
27 insured tort victims. For purposes of this Motion and Memorandum of Law, Subrogation Claims include, but  
28 are not limited to, claims that arise from subrogation (whether such subrogation is contractual, equitable or  
statutory), assignment (whether such assignment is contractual, equitable or statutory), or otherwise in  
connection with payments made or to be made by the applicable insurer to insured tort victims, and whether  
arising as a matter of state or federal law, including, without limitation, Section 509 of the Bankruptcy Code.

1 payments made (and to be made) to individual insureds relating to damages caused by the  
2 catastrophic fires that occurred in Northern California prior to the Petition Date.

3       7.      On May 1, 2019, the Debtors filed a motion for entry of an order, among other  
4 things, establishing the last day to file proofs of claim and approving proposed proofs of claim  
5 forms for use in these chapter 11 cases (the “**Debtors’ Motion**”) [Docket No. 1784]. On May 3,  
6 2019, the Official Committee of Tort Claimants (the “**TCC**”) filed a motion and accompanying  
7 memorandum of law seeking approval of its own proposed model proof of claim form for fire  
8 claims (“**TCC’s Motion**”) [Docket Nos. 1824 & 1825]. Contemporaneously herewith, the Ad Hoc  
9 Subrogation Group filed the Subrogation Group’s Motion and an objection to the Debtors’ Motion.

10 **III. THE SUBROGATION CLAIMS, PROPOSED CLAIM FORM AND PROCEDURES**

11       A. **The Subrogation Claims**

12       8.      As the Debtors themselves have recognized, Official Form 410 is not useful for the  
13 assertion of prepetition Subrogation Claims against the Debtors. The official form contains several  
14 sections that are unlikely to apply to Subrogation Claims—e.g., secured status (Official Form 410  
15 at § 9), cure amount under a lease (*id.* at § 10), setoff rights (*id.* at § 11), and priority (*id.* at § 12).  
16 For many (if not all) of those filing Subrogation Claims (each, a “**Subrogation Claimant**”), the  
17 amount of their liquidated Subrogation Claims changes constantly as payments are made to  
18 insureds. The Ad Hoc Subrogation Group respectfully submits that the proof of claim form for  
19 Subrogation Claims should avoid confusion for the filers, target the same information historically  
20 shared between subrogation claim holders and defendants, and provide a mechanism for sharing  
21 such information with the Debtors’ claims and noticing agent. The claim form should not ask for  
22 information that is unavailable and/or unnecessary to assert a claim and put the Debtors on notice.  
23 Moreover, a proof of claim form should not require transfer information that is covered by a  
24 separate procedure governed by Bankruptcy Rule 3001(e), and should protect confidential  
25 information.

## **B. The Subrogation Group's Form**

9. To these ends, the Ad Hoc Subrogation Group has prepared the Subrogation Group's Form, which has been tailored to these Chapter 11 Cases and provides the Debtors with all of the information they will need to reconcile Subrogation Claims. The Subrogation Group's Form is intended to simplify the process for Subrogation Claimants to file a proof of claim and for the Debtors to intake the information necessary to reconcile claims and understand the overall claims pool. However, as discussed in the Ad Hoc Subrogation Group's objection to the Debtors' Motion, there are certain issues with the Debtors' proposed form which the Subrogation Group's Form addresses. The main differences between the two forms are set forth in the chart below, and the specific reasons the Subrogation Group's Form departs from the Debtors' form on each issue are set forth in the objection filed contemporaneously herewith:

Issue	Debtors' Form	Subrogation Group's Form
<b>Unnecessary Information</b>	11. . . . This information must be provided to Prime Clerk in the form requested . . . 4. Policy Limit . . . 10. Parcel ID . . . 15. Total Paid/Reserved by Claim Type (e.g., Auto Commercial, Homeowners) 16. Total Paid/Reserved by Loss Type (e.g., structure, personal property, automobile)	15. . . . This information, to the extent maintained by the claimant in the ordinary course of business, shall be provided to Prime Clerk substantially in the form requested . . . <i>[Policy Limit and Parcel ID not requested]</i> 13. Total Paid to date by Loss Type (e.g. structure, personal property, automobile) <i>[Reserves requested in the aggregate. See Question 12.]</i>
<b>Terminology: “claim” vs. “subrogation claim”</b>	4. Has this claim been acquired from someone else? 7. Do you know if anyone else has filed a proof of claim for this claim?	4. Has this subrogation claim been acquired from someone else (other than an insured)? 9. Do you know if anyone else (other than the underlying insureds) has filed a proof of claim for this subrogation claim?
<b>Aggregate Claim Amounts</b>	10. Total aggregate claim amount (amount to reflect all individual claims that have been paid or reserved by the Insurer)	10. Liquidated Claims. What is the aggregate amount of liquidated claims (i.e. payments made to insureds as of the date hereof)? 12. Contingent or Unliquidated Claims. What is the aggregate amount of estimated contingent and unliquidated claims (i.e. amounts reserved or estimated for claims

1		to be paid to insureds for incidents that occurred prepetition)?
2	<b>Transfer Documentation</b>	12. If any individual claims that form the basis of this claim have been acquired through an assignment, please provide the following additional information for each individual claim: (1) a copy of the original contract of sale/assignment . . . (2) a copy of insurer's written notification to insured (pursuant to 10 Cal. Code Regs. § 2695.7(p)) . . . (3) a copy of the underlying policy . . .

7

8                   **C. Proposed Procedures**

9                   10. The Ad Hoc Subrogation Group requests that the Court approve the Subrogation Group's Form and find that it complies with Bankruptcy Rule 3001(a), so that it may be used by Subrogation Claimants to assert Subrogation Claims. Nothing in the Subrogation Group's Form is intended to prejudice either the claimants' or the Debtors' rights with respect to the validity of the claims asserted. Rather, the Subrogation Group's Form was designed to ease the administration of these Chapter 11 Cases. To be clear, the Ad Hoc Subrogation Group is not asking the Court to establish a bar date for any party to file a proof of claim that is different than the date requested by the Debtors, nor to approve the form or manner of any notices to be given to known and unknown claimants, including the notice of any bar date or any notice by publication. Rather, the Ad Hoc Subrogation Group proposes the following simple procedure to replace the Debtors' proposed form for Subrogation Claimants with the Subrogation Group's Form: Prime Clerk will modify the website maintained for the Debtors' Chapter 11 Cases located at <https://restructuring.primeclerk.com/pge/> so that (i) the Subrogation Group's Form is publicly accessible, (ii) the webpage associated with the link entitled "Submit a Claim" is modified so that potential Subrogation Claimants that choose to file a proof of claim form for Subrogation Claims are directed to, and can, complete the Subrogation Group's Form, and (iii) the supporting information submitted in connection with the Subrogation Group's Form is maintained in a confidential manner.

1       **IV. ARGUMENT**

2       11. Bankruptcy Rule 3001(a) provides that: “A proof of claim is a written statement  
3 setting forth a creditor’s claim. A proof of claim shall conform substantially to the appropriate  
4 Official Form.” Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3001(a). As discussed in the TCC’s Motion, in mass tort  
5 bankruptcies, courts routinely permit parties to make modifications to the Official Form 410.<sup>3</sup> The  
6 purpose of a proof of claim is to provide notice. The filing of a proof of claim puts the court and  
7 other parties in interest “on notice that a particular creditor is asserting a right to payment.” *In re*  
8 *Gordian Med.*, 499 B.R. 793, 799 (C.D. Cal. 2013); *see als*, *Spokane Law Enf’t Fed. Credit Union*  
9 *v. Barker (In re Barker)*, 839 F.3d 1189, 1195 (9th Cir. 2016) (“The proof of claim plays the  
10 important role of alerting the court, trustee, and other creditors, as well as the debtor, to claims  
11 against the estate, and the creditor’s intention to enforce the claims.”) (internal quotations omitted);  
12 *Brosio v. Deutsche Bank Nat’l Tr. Co. (In re Brosio)*, 505 B.R. 903, 912 (9th Cir. BAP 2014) (“The  
13 filing of a proof of claim is analogous to filing a complaint in the bankruptcy case.”) (citations  
14 omitted). The Subrogation Group’s Form achieves that purpose.

15       12. The Subrogation Group’s Form is carefully drafted to avoid confusion by using terms  
16 of art familiar to insurers holding Subrogation Claims. For example, the term “claim” might mean  
17 one thing in the bankruptcy context, but insurers filing proofs of claim might read the term to mean  
18 individual claims made under insurance policies. The proposed Subrogation Group’s Form uses  
19 terminology that the Ad Hoc Subrogation Group members are comfortable with to address such  
20 issues and avoid confusion among Subrogation Claimants.

21       13. The Subrogation Group’s Form is also drafted to match the information the insurers  
22 historically shared with PG&E to resolve wildfire claims outside of bankruptcy. The Excel  
23 spreadsheet referenced in the Subrogation Group’s Form to be provided by Prime Clerk and  
24 submitted by claimants should be compatible with the information the insurers routinely gather.

25  
26  
27       <sup>3</sup> *See*, Memorandum of Law in Support of TCC’s Motion, pages 7-8.  
28

1 Submitting the information in this manner will allow the Debtors to easily connect an underlying  
2 tort claimant's loss with a corresponding Subrogation Claim.

3       14.     In accordance with section 107(b) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule  
4 9018, the supporting information submitted in connection with Subrogation Group's Form should  
5 be sealed, remain confidential in these Chapter 11 Cases, and not become part of the public record.  
6 Copies of such information may be provided: (a) to the Court, the Debtors, and the Office of the  
7 United States Trustee; and (b) to counsel for any official committees in these cases on a  
8 professional eyes' only basis. Such individual claim information is commercially sensitive and/or  
9 implicates individual tort victims' personal privacy. Section 107(b) of the Bankruptcy Code makes  
10 it "mandatory for a court to protect documents" falling into one of three categories: confidential  
11 business information, scandalous or defamatory matter, and means of identification. *Archdiocese*  
12 *of Portland v. Various Tort Claimants (In re Roman Catholic Archbishop of Portland)*, 661 F.3d  
13 417, 430-31 (9th Cir. 2011). Clarifying for Subrogation Claimants that such information will be  
14 kept confidential will encourage cooperation and participation with the filing and administration of  
15 claims.

16       15.     Finally, the Subrogation Group's Form does not require information that is  
17 unnecessary to put all parties in interest on notice of an alleged claim against the estates. Nothing  
18 in the form prejudices the claimant's right to pursue (and the Debtors' rights to object to) the merits  
19 of any asserted claim. As the Court is well aware, in designing forms to be used by a large group  
20 who are unfamiliar with the bankruptcy process, clarity should be at the forefront. As discussed in  
21 the Ad Hoc Subrogation Group's objection to the Debtors' Motion, and detailed above, certain  
22 information requested by the Debtors is confusing, unnecessary and/or unduly burdensome for  
23 submission and administration of Subrogation Claims. The proposed Subrogation Group's Form  
24 strikes these unnecessary requests, and thus best serves Subrogation Claimants and the  
25 administration of the Debtors' estates.

## **WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER LLP**

/s/ Matthew A. Feldman  
Matthew A. Feldman (*pro hac vice*)  
Joseph G. Minias (*pro hac vice*)  
Daniel I. Forman (*pro hac vice*)

*Counsel to Ad Hoc Group of Subrogation Claim Holders*